A.—SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Yes. Since 1954. There was a request from the Government of Andhra Pradesh for supplying extra quantity of water for growing Second Crop in Krishna Delta. The availability of water surplus to the needs of the Project was examined and only the surplus quantity was made available with a view to increasing food production in the country.

(*b*)	*Year*		*Quantum of water*
	1962	..	21.507 T.M.Cft.
	1963	..	8.201 T.M.Cft.
	1964	..	13.328 T.M.Cft.

(*c*) The extra revenue realised by the Government of Andhra Pradesh by growing second crop is being apportioned between the Governments concerned.

(*d*) In view of the rapid development of the ayacut under the Tungabhadra Project, it has to be examined each year whether there will be any surplus quantity of water for being made available to Andhra Pradesh.

URBAN WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

**Q*.—363. SRI S. RUDRAPPA (Kalgi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

the No. and names of District Headquarters and Taluk Headquarters in the State wherein Urban Water Supply schemes have been sanctioned during 1962-63 and 1963-64?

A.—SRI R. M. PATIL (Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Municipal Administration).—

Vide Appended Statement.

STATEMENT

1962-63

Names of District and Places for which schemes have been sanctioned

1. North Kanara—
   1. Bhatkal.
   2. Haliyal.

(SRI R. M. PATIL)

2. Bangalore—

1. Doddaballapur.
2. Nelamangala.
3. Kanakapura.
4. Magadi.
5. Yelahanka.

3. Tumkur—

1. Tumkur.
2. Gubbi.
3. Turuvekere.

4. Kolar—

1. Bangarpet.
2. Bagepalli.
3. Chintamani.
4. Gauribidanur.
5. Kolar.

5. Chitradurga—

1. Davangere.
2. Challakere.
3. Molakalmuru.
4. Holalkere.

6. Mandya—

1. Mandya.
2. Malavalli.
3. Srirangapatna.
4. Nagamangala.
5. Pandavapura.
6. Maddur.

7. Coorg—

1. Virajpet.
2. Somwarpet.

8. Gulberga—

1. Aland.
2. Koppal.

1963-64.

Hassan—

1. Belur.

Kolar—

1. Sidlaghatta.

North Kanara—

1. Haliyal.

INDENT FOR SAVIN.

*Q.—365. SRI M. R. PATIL (Hubli City).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether a pesticide called Savin has been acclaimed by the cultivators of Laxmi Variety of Cotton as effective to a large extent against the boll-worm pest;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that the Savin pesticide can be procured for the cotton cultivators in any State in India only by the Director of Agriculture of that State on an indent placed sufficiently in advance with the Government of India Directorate of Plant Protection;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that the Plant Protection Adviser to the Government of India had sent a letter to the Director of Agriculture of the Mysore State requesting to place an early indent for the Savin that may be required by the Mysore State;

(*d*) if so, when was that letter received by the Director of Agriculture;

(*e*) whether the Director of Agriculture placed indent for the Savin necessary for Mysore State for the year 1964-65;

(*f*) if so, when did he do so and for what quantity;

(*g*) whether the Director of Agriculture has been able to procure any quantity of Savin this year and if so, what quantity;

(*h*) whether it is a fact that the Laxmi Cotton cultivators in the State have been pressing upon the District Agricultural Officers for the last two months to supply Savin and that the said officers are unable to meet the demand?